

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/7106.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK
Reference No. 18 of 1979

SHRI RAM PARTAP, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL STRIPS LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR

Present.—

Shri Tek Chand Gupta, for the workman.

Shri V. P. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/HSR/87-78/3149, dated 19th January, 1979 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Hissar and its workman Shri Ram Partap to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Partap was justified and in Order ?
If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed Photostat copy of settlement dated 8th January, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, bonus, earned leave, wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement :—

“The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed ?”

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Ram Partap was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

Dated the 31st May, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 1375, dated the 8th June, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/7107.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 330 of 1978

SHRI RADHEY SHYAM, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL STRIPS LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR

Present.—

Shri Tek Chand Gupta, for the workman.

Shri V. P. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/HSR/88-78/55321, dated 13th December, 1978 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Hissar and its workman Shri Radhey Shayam to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Radhey Shayam was justified and in Order ?
If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed photostat copy of settlement, dated 7th February, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, bonus, earned leave, wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement :—

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed ?"

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Radhey Shyam was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

BABU RAM GOYAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Dated the 31st May, 1979,

Endorsement No. 1376, dated the 8th June, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 11(112)3Lab-79/7108:—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar.

**BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK**

Reference No. 19 of 1979

between

**SHRI MUNNI LAL, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL
STRIPS, LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR**

Present.—

Shri Tek Chand Gupta, for the workman.

Shri V. P. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/HSR/87-78/3155, dated 19th January, 1979, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the Management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Hissar and its workman Shri Munni Lal to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Munni Lal was justified and in order?
If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed Photo-stat copy of settlement dated 7th February, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, Bonus, Earned leave, wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement :

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed?"

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Munni Lal was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

Dated 31st May, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Endst. No. 1377, dated 8th June, 1979

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 11 (112)-3Lab-79/7109.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the presiding Officer, Labour Court Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/S Jindal strips LTD. Delhi Road, Hissar.

BEFOHE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 372 of 1978

SHRI KISHORI LAL-I WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. JINDAL
STRIPS LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR

Present: —

Shri Tek Chand Gupta, for the workman.

Shri V. P. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

The order No. ID/HGR/76-78/56312, dated 18th December, 1978 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/S. Jindal Strips Ltd. Hissar and its workman Shri Kishori Lal-I to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Kishori Lal-I was justified and in Order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?"

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed Photo-stat copy of settlement dated 7th February, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, bonus, earned leave, wages and other wages outstanding, if any to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement :

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed?"

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Kishori Lal-I was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

The 30th June, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana.

Endst. No. 1378, dated 8th June, 1979

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Deptt., Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/7112.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 375 of 1978

SHRI PHUL CHAND-I, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL STRIPS
LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR

Present.—

Shri Tek Chand Gupta, for the workman.

Shri V. P. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/HSR/76-78/56330, dated the 18th December, 1978 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Hissar and its workman Shri Phul Chand-I, to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Phul Chand-I was justified and in Order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the Parties. The parties appeared and filed Photo-stat copy of settlement dated 7th February, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, Bonus, Earned leave, wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement :

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed ?"

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Phul Chand I was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

Dated the 30th May, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 1381, dated the 8th June, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

G. V. GUPTA,
Commissioner and Secy.